arXiv:math/9807005v1 [math.QA] 2 Jul 1998

The contraction of $SU_\mu(2)$ and its differential structures to $E_\kappa(2)$

Piotr Kosiński*, Paweł Maślanka*
Theoretical Physics Department II
University of Lodz
ul. Pomorska 149/153, 90 236 Lodz, Poland

Abstract

The deformed double covering of $E(2)$ group, denoted by $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$, is obtained by contraction from the $SU_\mu(2)$. The contraction procedure is then used for producing a new examples of differential calculi: 3D–left covariant calculus on both $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$ and the deformed Euclidean group $E_\kappa(2)$ and two different 4D–bicovariant calculi on $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$ which correspond to the one 4D–bicovariant calculi on $E_\kappa(2)$ described in Ref.[14].

---

*Supported by KBN grant 2 P03B 130 12

I Introduction

The idea to use the contraction procedure in the theory of quantum groups goes back to E. Celeghini, R. Giachetti, E. Sorace and M. Tarlini. In a series of papers [1]–[4] they applied this procedure to quantum deformations of simple Lie groups producing new examples of quantum groups. Later the contraction was used by J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg, A. Nowicki and V. Tolstoy as a tool for obtaining the κ–deformation of Poincare algebra ([5],[6]) and by P. Zaugg for constructing the κ–deformation of Poincare group ([7],[8]). In [9] J. Sobczyk obtained in this way from $SU_\mu(2)$ the deformed twodimensional Euclidean group $E_\kappa(2)$. In the present paper we use contraction as a method for producing a new examples of noncommutative differential calculi. For this reason we need a slightly different contraction technique that the one used in Ref.[9]. When applied to $SU_\mu(2)$ it gives the deformed counterpart of double covering of $E(2)$, called below $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$; the projection from $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$ onto $E_\kappa(2)$ can be then easily constructed. All that is described in sec.II. In sec.III we contract the 3D left–covariant differential calculus on $SU_\mu(2)$ constructed by S.L.Woronowicz [10]. As a result we get the 3D left covariant differential calculus on $E_\kappa(2)$. We also construct the corresponding Lie algebra and prove its equivalence to $e_\kappa(2)$, the latter being described in Refs.[11],[12] as a dual object to $E_\kappa(2)$. In sec.IV we contract the 4D$_+$ bicovariant differential calculus on $SU_\mu(2)$ described first explicitly by P. Stachura,[13], and we obtain 4D$_+$ bicovariant differential calculus on $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$ related to some right ideal of "functions" vanishing at the identity of $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$. We give also the corresponding Lie algebra. In sec.V we present the 4D$_-$ bicovariant differential calculus on $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$ resulting from the contraction of 4D$_-$ differential calculus on $SU_\mu(2)$ (described also in Ref.[13]). This calculus is related to some right ideal which can be roughly describe as consisting of "functions" simultaneously vanishing at the identity and some other "point" of $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$. In sec.VI we prove that both 4D$_+$ and 4D$_-$ calculi on $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$ project onto the same 4D bicovariant differential calculus on $E_\kappa(2)$, first constructed in Ref.[14].

More technical proofs of theorems are relegated to the Appendix.

In the present paper (as well as in all above mentioned papers concerning the contraction procedure) the quantum groups are considered in the purely algebraic setting of deformed universal enveloping algebras.

II κ–contraction from $SU_\mu(2)$ to $E_\kappa(2)$

In this section we present a slightly different scheme of contraction that the one describe in Ref.[9]. The contraction procedure presented here allow us to describe the complete structure of $E_\kappa(2)$ by considering only the terms of order $\frac{1}{R}$, where R is a contraction parameter.

Let us recall that $SU_\mu(2)$ is a matrix quantum group,[10]:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & -\mu\rho^* \\ \rho & \sigma^* \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Delta(g) = g \dot{\otimes} g$$

which matrix elements satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\rho^* = \rho^*\rho \qquad & \mu(\rho-\rho^*)\sigma = \sigma(\rho-\rho^*) \\ \sigma\sigma^* + \mu^2\rho\rho^* = I \qquad & \mu(\rho+\rho^*)\sigma = \sigma(\rho+\rho^*) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma^*\sigma + \rho\rho^* = I$$

The first step in our scheme is to change the basis of generators in $SU_\mu(2)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \mu\rho^* \\ \rho & \sigma^* \end{pmatrix} \to \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \sigma & -\mu\rho^* \\ \rho & \sigma^* \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \tag{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \sigma + \rho - \mu\rho^* + \sigma^* & -\sigma - \rho - \mu\rho^* + \sigma^* \\ -\sigma + \rho + \mu\rho^* + \sigma^* & \sigma - \rho + \mu\rho^* + \sigma^* \end{pmatrix}$$

The contraction (R) and the deformation (κ) parameters are introduced by the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma + \rho - \mu\rho^* + \sigma^* &= a(R) \\ -\sigma - \rho - \mu\rho^* + \sigma^* &= \frac{w(R)}{R} \\ \mu = e^{\frac{1}{\kappa R}} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We assume that $\alpha(R)$ and $w(R)$ have well–defined limits as $R \to \infty$:

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} a(R) = a_0 \qquad \lim_{R\to\infty} w(R) = w_0 \tag{4}$$

The relations (3) allow us to express the generators ρ and σ as the functions of the contraction parameter:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma &= \frac{1}{1+\mu}\left(\mu a + a^* + \frac{1}{R}(w^* - \mu w)\right) \\
\sigma^* &= \frac{1}{1+\mu}\left(\mu a^* + a + \frac{1}{R}(w - \mu w^*)\right) \\
\rho &= \frac{1}{1+\mu}\left(a - a^* - \frac{1}{R}(w + w^*)\right) \\
\rho^* &= -\frac{1}{1+\mu}\left(a - a^* + \frac{1}{R}(w + w^*)\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We are now ready to perform the contraction of $SU_\mu(2)$ by taking the limit $R \to \infty$ in eq.(1). As a result we obtain the quantum counterpart of the double covering of $E(2)$, denoted below by $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$. The structure of $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$ is described by the following:

Theorem 1
$\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$ is Hopf algebra generated by the elements: a_0, a_0^*, w_0 and w_0^* subject to the relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
[a_0^*, w_0] &= -[a_0^*, w_0^*] = \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0^{*2} - I) \\
[a_0, w_0] &= -[a_0, w_0^*] = \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0^2 - I) \\
[w_0, w_0^*] &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0 + a_0^*)(w_0 + w_0^*) \\
[a_0, a_0^*] &= 0 \qquad a_0^* a_0 = a_0 a_0^* = I
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta a_0 &= a_0 \otimes a_0 \qquad \Delta a_0^* = a_0^* \otimes a_0^* \\
\Delta w_0 &= w_0 \otimes a_0^* + a_0 \otimes w_0 \\
\Delta w_0^* &= w_0^* \otimes a_0 + a_0^* \otimes w_0^* \\
S(a_0) &= a_0^* \qquad S(a_0^*) = a_0 \\
S(w_0) &= -a_0^* w_0 a_0 \qquad S(W_0^*) = -a_0 w_0^* a_0^* \\
\epsilon(a_0) &= \epsilon(a_0^*) = 1 \qquad \epsilon(w_0) = \epsilon(w_0) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

For proof see Appendix A.

Let us stress that the above structure was obtained by considering only the terms of order $\frac{1}{R}$ (cf. the proof of Theorem 1). In order to get the deformed twodimensional Euclidean group $E_\kappa(2)$ we put:

$$A = a_0^2, \quad A^* = a_0^{*2}, \quad v_+ = -ia_o w_0, \quad v_- = iw_0^* a_0 \tag{7}$$

As a result the following Hopf algebra $E_\kappa(2)$ (cf. [11], [12], [14]) is obtained from the eqs.(6):

$$\begin{aligned}
[A, v_-] &= \frac{i}{\kappa}(I - A) \qquad [A^*, v_-] = \frac{i}{\kappa}(A^* - A^{*2}) \\
[A, v_+] &= \frac{i}{\kappa}(A - A^2) \qquad [A^*, v_+] = \frac{i}{\kappa}(I - A^*) \\
[v_+, v_-] &= \frac{i}{\kappa}(v_- - v_+) \qquad [A, A^*] = 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
AA^* = A^*A &= I \\
\Delta A = A \otimes A &\qquad \Delta A^* = A^* \otimes A^* \\
\Delta v_+ = A \otimes v_+ + v_+ \otimes I &\qquad \Delta v_- = A^* \otimes v_- + v_- \otimes I \\
S(A) = A^* &\qquad S(A^*) = A \\
S(v_+) = -A^* v_+ &\qquad S(v_-) = -A v_- \\
\epsilon(A) = \epsilon(A^*) = 1 &\qquad \epsilon(v_+) = \epsilon(v_-) = 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

III The left covariant 3D differential calculus

In this section we describe 3D left covariant differential calculus on $E_\kappa(2)$. This calculus results from the contraction of 3D left covariant differential calculus on $SU_\mu(2)$, the latter being constructed by S.L.Woronowicz, [10].

The right ideal R which defines the 3D calculus on $SU_\mu(2)$ is generated by the following six elements, [10]: $\rho^2;\ \rho^{*2};\ \rho^*\rho = \rho\rho^*,\ (\sigma - I)(\rho - \rho^*);\ (\sigma - I)(\rho + \rho^*);\ \sigma^* + \mu^*\sigma - (1+\mu^2)I.$ Denoting by $\tilde{R}_0$ the contraction of R we have:

Theorem 2
The right ideal $\tilde{R}_0$ is generating by the following elements:

$$(a_0 - I)(a_0^* - I); \quad (a_0 - I)w_0^* + \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0 - a_0^*);$$

$$(a_0 - I)w_0 - \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0 - a_0^*); \quad (a_0^* - I)w_0^* - \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0 - a_0^*); \tag{9}$$

$$(a_0^* - I)w_o + \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0 - a_0^*); \quad w_0^* w_0 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}(w_0 - 3w_0^*) - \frac{1}{4\kappa^2}(a_0 - a_0^*);$$

$$w_0^2 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}(w_0^* - 3w_0) + \frac{1}{4\kappa^2}(a_0 - a_0^*); \quad w_0^* - \frac{1}{2\kappa}(w_0 - 3w_0^*) + \frac{1}{4\kappa^2}(a_0 - a_0^*)$$

The proof is given in Appendix B.

It follows from the theorem that the elements $a_0 - a_0^*$, w_0 and w_0^* from a basis in $\ker \epsilon / \tilde{R}_0$. According to the Woronowicz theory, [15], the space of left invariant 1–forms on $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$ is spanned by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi_0} &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes (a_0 - a_0^*)) = a_0^* da_0 - a_0 da_0^* \\
\tilde{\varphi_1} &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes w_0) = -a_0^* w_0 a_0 da_0^* + a_0^* dw_0 \\
\tilde{\varphi_2} &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes w_0) = -a_0 w_0^* a_0^* da_0 + a_0 dw_0^*
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Let us pass to $E_\kappa(2)$. The following corollary is a simple consequence of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1
The right ideal $R_0 = E_\kappa(R) \cap \tilde{R}_0$ is generated by the following elements:

$$(A - I)(A^* - I)$$

$$(A - I)v_- - \frac{i}{\kappa}(A^* - I); \qquad (A^* - I)v_- + \frac{i}{\kappa}(A^* - I);$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(A-I)v_+ + \frac{i}{\kappa}(A-I); \qquad (A^*-I)v_+ - \frac{i}{\kappa}(A-I);\\
&v_-v_+ + \frac{i}{2\kappa}(v_+ + 3v_-) + \frac{1}{4\kappa^2}(A^*-A) \qquad (11)\\
&v_+^2 + \frac{i}{2\kappa}(3v_+ + v_-) + \frac{1}{4\kappa^2}(A^*-A)\\
&v_-^2 + \frac{i}{2\kappa}(v_+ + 3v_-) + \frac{1}{4\kappa^2}(A^*-A)
\end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, the elements: $A - A^*$, v_+ and v_- form a basis in $\ker \epsilon / R_0$ and the space of left invariant 1–forms is spanned by the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_0 &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes (A - A^*)) = A^* dA - A dA^*\\
\varphi_1 &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes v_+) = A^* dv_+ \qquad (12)\\
\varphi_2 &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes v_-) = A dv_-
\end{aligned}$$

The following relations hold for the forms defined by eqs.(10) and (12):

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_0 &= 2\tilde{\varphi}_0\\
\varphi_1 &= -\frac{i}{2\kappa}\tilde{\varphi}_0 - i\tilde{\varphi}_1 \qquad (13)\\
\varphi_2 &= i\tilde{\varphi}_2
\end{aligned}$$

Now one can easily find the following commutation rules between the invariant forms and generators of $E_\kappa(2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
[A,\varphi_0] &= 0 \qquad [A^*,\varphi_0]=0\\
[A,\varphi_1] &= 0 \qquad [A^*,\varphi_1]=0\\
[A,\varphi_2] &= 0 \qquad [A^*,\varphi_2]=0\\
[v_-,\varphi_0] &= \frac{i}{\kappa}A^*\varphi_0\\
[v_-,\varphi_1] &= \frac{i}{2\kappa}A^*(3\varphi_1+\varphi_2)-\frac{1}{4\kappa^2}A^*\varphi_0\\
[v_-,\varphi_2] &= \frac{i}{2\kappa}A^*(\varphi_1+3\varphi_2)-\frac{1}{4\kappa^2}A^*\varphi_0\\
[v_+,\varphi_0] &= \frac{i}{\kappa}A\varphi_0\\
[v_+,\varphi_1] &= \frac{i}{2\kappa}A(3\varphi_1+\varphi_2)-\frac{1}{4\kappa^2}A\varphi_0\\
[v_+,\varphi_2] &= \frac{i}{2\kappa}A(\varphi_1+3\varphi_2)-\frac{1}{4\kappa^2}A\varphi_0
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

To complete the description of the first order differential calculus we must introduce the ∗–operator. It is easy to see that the involution acts as follow:

$$\varphi_0^*=-\varphi_0,\quad \varphi_1^*=\varphi_2,\quad \varphi_2^*=\varphi_1 \tag{15}$$

The next step is the construction of the higher order differential calculus. Because our first order calculus is not bicovariant the general Woronowicz theory does not work in this case. However we can apply our contraction procedure to obtain the higher differential forms from the ones on $SU_\mu(2)$ constructed by Woronowicz, [10]. Let us recall that the left invariant basic forms on $SU_\mu(2)$ introduced in Ref.[10] read:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_0 &= \rho^* d\sigma^* - \sigma d\rho^* \\
\Omega_1 &= \sigma^* d\sigma - \rho^* d\rho \\
\Omega_2 &= \rho d\sigma - \mu^{-1}\sigma d\rho
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

One can easily find the following expansions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_0 &= \frac{1}{4}\varphi_0 + \frac{1}{2R}\left[i(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) - \frac{1}{\kappa}\varphi_0\right] + O\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right) \\
\Omega_1 &= \frac{1}{2R}\left[-i(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\varphi_0\right] + O\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right) \\
\Omega_2 &= -\frac{1}{4}\varphi_0 + \frac{1}{2R}\left[i(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) + \frac{5}{4\kappa}\varphi_0\right] + O\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Inserting these expansions into the following external product identies written out in [10]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_0 \wedge \Omega_0 &= 0 \qquad \Omega_1 \wedge \Omega_1 = 0 \\
\Omega_1 \wedge \Omega_0 &= -\mu^4 \Omega_0 \wedge \Omega_1 \\
(\Omega_2 + \Omega_0) \wedge \Omega_0 &= -\mu^2 \Omega_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + \Omega_2) \\
(\Omega_0 + \Omega_2) \wedge \Omega_1 &= -\mu^{-4}\Omega_1 \wedge \Omega_0 - \mu^4 \Omega_1 \wedge \Omega_2
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

we obtain the following external product rules:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_0 \wedge \varphi_0 = 0 \\
&\varphi_0 \wedge \varphi_1 + \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_0 = 0 \\
&\varphi_0 \wedge \varphi_0 + \varphi_2 \wedge \varphi_0 = 0 \\
&\varphi_2 \wedge \varphi_1 + \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 + \frac{i}{4\kappa}\varphi_2 \wedge \varphi_0 - \frac{i}{4\kappa}\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_0 = 0 \\
&\varphi_2 \wedge \varphi_2 - \frac{3i}{8\kappa}\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_0 - \frac{5i}{8\kappa}\varphi_2 \wedge \varphi_0 = 0 \\
&\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_1 + \frac{5i}{8\kappa}\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_0 + \frac{3i}{8\kappa}\varphi_2 \wedge \varphi_0 = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The following Cartan–Maurer equations complete the description of the differential calculus:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\varphi_0 &= 0 \\
d\varphi_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}\varphi_0 \wedge \varphi_1 \\
d\varphi_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\varphi_0 \wedge \varphi_2
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Woronowicz theory, [15], provides us with the general construction of Lie algebra once the left–covariant calculus is given (see also [10]). Following general framework we introduce the counterparts of left invariant vector fields by the formula:

$$dx = (\chi_0 * x)\varphi_0 + (\chi_1 * x)\varphi_1 + (\chi_2 * x)\varphi_2 \tag{21}$$

where $x \in E_\kappa(2)$ and $\chi * x = (id \otimes \chi)\Delta x$.

Applying the exterior derivative to both side of eq.(21) and taking into account Cartan–Maurer equations as well as and the exterior algebra relations (19) we arrive at the following commutation rules:

$$\begin{aligned} [\chi_1, \chi_0] &= \frac{5i}{8\kappa}\chi_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\chi_1 - \frac{i}{2\kappa}\chi_1\chi_2 - \frac{3i}{8\kappa}\chi_2^2 \\ [\chi_2, \chi_0] &= \frac{3i}{8\kappa}\chi_1^2 + \frac{i}{4\kappa}\chi_1\chi_2 + \frac{1}{2}\chi_2 - \frac{5i}{8\kappa}\chi_2^2 \\ [\chi_1, \chi_2] &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

It is easy to check that the involution acts as follow:

$$\chi_0^* = \chi_0, \quad \chi_1^* = -\chi_2, \quad \chi_2^* = -\chi_1 \tag{23}$$

The coproduct for the functionals $\chi_i,\ i = 0, 1, 2$ is defined by:

$$\Delta\chi_i = \sum_{j=0}^{2} \chi_j \otimes f_{ij} + I \otimes \chi_i \tag{24}$$

where the functionals f_{ij} are given by the relations:

$$\varphi_i x = \sum_{j=0}^{2} (f_{ij} * x)\varphi_j \tag{25}$$

On the other hand it has been shown ([11],[12]) that the deformed Lie algebra $e_\kappa(2)$ dual to $E_\kappa(2)$ is a Hopf algebra generated by three selfadjoint elements P_1, P_2 and J subject to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
[P_1, P_2] &= 0 \\
[J, P_1] &= = iP_2 \\
[J, P_2] &= -i\kappa \sinh\left(\frac{P_1}{\kappa}\right) \\
\Delta P_1 &= I \otimes P_1 + P_1 \otimes I \\
\Delta P_2 &= e^{-P_1/2\kappa} \otimes P_2 + P_2 \otimes e^{P_1/2\kappa} \\
\Delta J &= e^{-P_1/2\kappa} \otimes J + J \otimes e^{P_1/2\kappa}
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Now, one can pose the question what is the relation between the functionals $\chi_i,\ i = 0, 1, 2$ and the generators P_1, P_2 and J of the $e_\kappa(2)$. It is not difficult to check that the answer to this question is given by the following relations:

$$\chi_0 = \frac{1}{2} e^{P_1/2\kappa}(J + \frac{i}{2\kappa}P_2) - \frac{1}{8}(e^{2P_1/\kappa} - I)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_1 &= \frac{i\kappa}{4}(e^{2P_1/\kappa} - I) - \frac{1}{2}P_2 e^{P_1/2\kappa} \\
\chi_2 &= \frac{i\kappa}{4}(e^{2P_1/\kappa} - I) + \frac{1}{2}P_2 e^{P_1/2\kappa} \\
f_{01} &= f_{02} = 0 \qquad f_{00} = e^{P_1/\kappa} \\
f_{20} &= f_{10} = \frac{i}{4\kappa}(e^{2P_1/\kappa} - e^{-P_1/\kappa}) \\
f_{22} &= f_{11} = \frac{1}{2}(e^{2P_1/\kappa} + e^{P_1/\kappa}) \\
f_{21} &= f_{12} = \frac{1}{2}(e^{2P_1/\kappa} - e^{P_1/\kappa})
\end{aligned}
\tag{27}
$$

IV The bicovariant 4D$_+$ differential calculus on $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$

Let us recall, [13], that the right ideal R_+ (resp.R_-) which defines the 4D$_+$ (resp.4D$_-$) bicovariant differential calculus on $SU_\mu(2)$ is generated by the following elements:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\rho^2; \quad \rho(\sigma - \sigma^*); \quad \sigma^2 + \mu^2\sigma^{*2} + (1+\mu^2)^2\rho\rho^* - (1+\mu^2)I; \\
&\rho^{*2}; \quad \rho^*(\sigma - \sigma^*),\ a\rho; \quad a\rho^*; \quad a(\sigma - \sigma^*); \\
&a(\mu^2\sigma + \sigma^* - (1+\mu^2)I)
\end{aligned}
\tag{28}
$$

where $a = \mu^2\sigma + \sigma^* - [(1+\mu^4)/\mu]I$ (resp.$a = \mu^2\sigma + \sigma^* + [(1+\mu^4)/\mu]I$).

Let us denote by $\tilde{R}_{0+}$ the contraction of the ideal R_+. then we have:

Theorem 3
The right ideal $\tilde{R_{0+}}$ is generated by the following elements:

$$a_0 + a_0^* - 2; \quad (a_0 - I)w_0; \quad (a_0^* - I)w_0$$

$$(a_0 - I)w_0^*; \quad (a_0^* - I)w_0^*; \quad w_0^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}w_0;$$

$$w_0^* - \frac{1}{\kappa}w_0^*$$

For the proof see Appendix C.

The ideal $\tilde{R}_{0+}$ is ad–invariant then so we can apply the general Woronowicz theory, [15]. It follows from the theorem 3 that the space of left invariant 1–forms is spanned by the following 1–forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1 &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes (a_0 - a_0^*)) = a_0^* da_0 - a_0 da_0^* = 2a_0^* da_0 = -2a_0 da_0^* \\
\psi_2 &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes w_0) = \frac{1}{2}a_0^* w_0 \psi_1 + a_0^* dw_0 \\
\psi_3 &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes w_0^*) = -\frac{1}{2}a_0 w_0^* \psi_1 + a_0 dw_0^* \qquad (29) \\
\psi_4 &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes w_0 w_0^*) = -w_0 a_0^* \psi_3 - \frac{1}{2\kappa}(1 - a_0^2)(\psi_2 - \frac{1}{2\kappa}\psi_1) + d(w_0 w_0^*)
\end{aligned}$$

One can easily find the following commutation relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
[a_0, \psi_1] &= 0 \qquad\quad [w_0, \psi_1] = 0 \\
[a_0^*, \psi_1] &= 0 \qquad\quad [w_0^*, \psi_1] = 0 \\
[a_0, \psi_2] &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} a_0 \psi_1 \\
[a_0^*, \psi_2] &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa} a_0^* \psi_1 \\
[w_0, \psi_2] &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa} w_0 \psi_1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} a_0 \psi_2 \\
[w_0^*, \psi_2] &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} w_0^* \psi_1 - a_0^* \psi_4 \\
[a_0, \psi_3] &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa} a_0 \psi_1 \qquad\qquad (30) \\
[a_0^*, \psi_3] &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} a_0^* \psi_1 \\
[w_0, \psi_3] &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} w_0 \psi_1 - a_0 \psi_4 - \frac{1}{\kappa} a_0 (\psi_1 + \psi_3) \\
[w_0^*, \psi_3] &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa} w_0^* \psi_1 - \frac{1}{\kappa} a_0^* \psi_3 \\
[a_0, \psi_4] &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} a_0 \psi_1 \\
[a_0^*, \psi_4] &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} a_0^* \psi_1 \\
[w_0, \psi_4] &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} w_0 \psi_1 + \frac{1}{\kappa^2} a_0 \psi_2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$[w_0^*, \psi_4] \;\; = \;\; \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} w_0^* \psi_1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} a_0^* \psi_4 + \frac{2}{\kappa^2} a_0^* \psi_3$$

The external product identies read:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_1 \wedge \psi_1 = 0 \\
&\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 + \psi_2 \wedge \psi_1 = 0 \\
&\psi_1 \wedge \psi_3 + \psi_3 \wedge \psi_1 = 0 \\
&\psi_1 \wedge \psi_4 + \psi_4 \wedge \psi_1 = 0 \\
&\psi_2 \wedge \psi_2 - \frac{1}{\kappa} \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 = 0 \\
&\psi_2 \wedge \psi_3 + \psi_3 \wedge \psi_2 + \frac{1}{\kappa} \psi_1 \wedge (\psi_3 + \psi_2) = 0 \\
&\psi_2 \wedge \psi_4 + \psi_4 \wedge \psi_2 = 0 \\
&\psi_3 \wedge \psi_3 - \frac{1}{\kappa} \psi_1 \wedge \psi_3 = 0 \\
&\psi_3 \wedge \psi_4 + \psi_4 \wedge \psi_3 + \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \psi_1 \wedge (\psi_3 - \psi_2) = 0 \\
&\psi_4 \wedge \psi_4 + \frac{1}{\kappa^3} \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

while Cartan–Maurer equations are given by:

$$d\psi_1 \;\; = \;\; 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\psi_2 &= -\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 \\ d\psi_3 &= \psi_1 \wedge \psi_3 \\ d\psi_4 &= \frac{1}{\kappa}\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The quantum Lie algebra reads($dx = (\xi_1 * x)\psi_1 + (\xi_2 * x)\psi_2 + (\xi_3 * x)\psi_3 + (\xi_4 * x)\psi_4$):

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi_1, \xi_2] &= -\frac{1}{\kappa}\xi_2^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\xi_3\xi_2 + \xi_2 - \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\xi_4\xi_3 + \frac{1}{\kappa^3}\xi_4^2 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\xi_4 \\ [\xi_1, \xi_3] &= \frac{1}{\kappa}\xi_3\xi_2 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\xi_3^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\xi_4\xi_3 - \xi_3 \\ [\xi_1, \xi_4] &= 0 \\ [\xi_2, \xi_3] &= 0 \\ [\xi_2, \xi_4] &= 0 \\ [\xi_3, \xi_4] &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

V 4D$_-$ differential calculus on $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$

Denoting by $\tilde{R}_{0-}$ the contraction of the ideal R_- (see eqs.(28)) we have:

Theorem 4
The right ideal $\tilde{R}_{0-}$ is generated by the following elements:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^2 + a_0 - a_0^* - I; &\quad {a_0^*}^2 + a_0^* - a_0 - I; \\ (a_0 + I)w_0; &\quad (a_0^* + I)w_0; \\ (a_0 + I)w_0^*; &\quad (a_0^* + I)w_0^*; \\ w_0^2 - \frac{1}{\kappa}w_0; &\quad {w_0^*}^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}w_0^* \\ &\quad w_0w_0^* - \frac{1}{\kappa}w_0 - \frac{1}{\kappa^2}(a_0^* - I) \end{aligned}$$

Proof:
Because the proof of this theorem is very similar to the proof of theorem 3 and will be omitted.

The ideal $\tilde{R}_{0-}$ is ad–invariant and we can follow the Woronowicz theory. The space of left invariant 1–forms is spanned by the following four 1–forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1 &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes (a_0 - I)) = a_0^* da_0 \\ \Phi_2 &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes (a_0^* - I)) = a_0 da_0^* \\ \Phi_3 &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes w_0) = a_0^* dw_0 - a_0^* w_0 a_0 da_0^* \\ \Phi_4 &= \pi r^{-1}(I \otimes w_0^*) = a_0 dw_0^* - a_0 w_0^* a_0^* da_0 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

The following commutation relations hold between above forms and the generators of $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1 a_0 &= a_0(\Phi_2 - 2\Phi_1) \\
\Phi_1 a_0^* &= -a_0^*\Phi_2 \\
\Phi_2 a_0 &= -a_0\Phi_1 \\
\Phi_2 a_0^* &= a_0^*(\Phi_1 - 2\Phi_2) \\
\Phi_3 a_0 &= -a_0\Phi_3 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}a_0(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) \\
\Phi_3 a_0^* &= -a_0^*\Phi_3 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}a_0^*(\Phi_2 - \Phi_1) \\
\Phi_4 a_0^* &= -a_0\Phi_4 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}a_0(\Phi_2 - \Phi_1) \\
\Phi_4 a_0^* &= -a_0^*\Phi_4 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}a_0^*(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) \qquad (35) \\
\Phi_1 w_0 &= -w_0\Phi_2 - 2a_0\Phi_3 \\
\Phi_1 w_0^* &= w_0^*\Phi_2 - 2w_0^*\Phi_1 - 2a_0^*\Phi_4 \\
\Phi_2 w_0 &= w_0\Phi_1 - 2w_0\Phi_2 - 2a_0\Phi_3 \\
\Phi_2 w_0^* &= -w_0^*\Phi_1 - 2a_0^*\Phi_4 \\
\Phi_3 w_0 &= -w_0\Phi_3 - \frac{1}{2\kappa}w_0(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) + \frac{1}{\kappa}a_0\Phi_3 \\
\Phi_3 w_0^* &= -w_0^*\Phi_3 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}w_0^*(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) + \frac{1}{\kappa}a_0^*\Phi_3 + \frac{1}{\kappa^2}a_0^*\Phi_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_4 w_0 &= -w_0\Phi_4 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}w_0(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) - \frac{1}{\kappa}a_0\Phi_4 + \frac{1}{\kappa^2}a_0\Phi_2 \\
\Phi_4 w_0^* &= -w_0^*\Phi_4 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}w_0^*(\Phi_2 - \Phi_1) - \frac{1}{\kappa}a_0^*\Phi_4
\end{aligned}
$$

The external product identies read:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_1 = 0 \\
&\Phi_2 \wedge \Phi_2 = 0 \\
&\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 + \Phi_2 \wedge \Phi_1 = 0 \\
&\Phi_3 \wedge \Phi_1 + 3\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_3 - 2\Phi_2 \wedge \Phi_3 = 0 \\
&\Phi_3 \wedge \Phi_2 - \Phi_2 \wedge \Phi_3 + 2\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_3 \\
&\Phi_3 \wedge \Phi_3 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_3 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\Phi_2 \wedge \Phi + 3 = 0 \\
&\Phi_4 \wedge \Phi_1 - \Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_4 + 2\Phi_2 \wedge \Phi_4 = 0 \\
&\Phi_4 \wedge \Phi 2 + 3\Phi_2 \wedge \Phi_4 - 2\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_4 = 0 \\
&\Phi_4 \wedge \Phi_3 + \Phi_3 \wedge \Phi_4 + \frac{1}{\kappa}(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) \wedge \Phi_3 + \frac{1}{\kappa}(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) \wedge \Phi_4 = 0 \\
&\Phi_4 \wedge \Phi_4 - \frac{1}{\kappa}(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) \wedge \Phi_4 = 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{36}
$$

The following Cartan–Maurer formulas complete the description of the second order calculus:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\Phi_1 &= 0 \\
d\Phi_2 &= 0 \\
d\Phi_3 &= (\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) \wedge \Phi_3 \\
d\Phi_4 &= (\Phi_2 - \Phi_1) \wedge \Phi_4
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The Lie algebra relations $(dx = (\eta_1 * x)\Phi_1 + (\eta_2 * x)\Phi_2 + (\eta_3 * x)\Phi_3 + (\eta_4 * x)\Phi_4)$ read:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\eta_1, \eta_2] &= 0 \\
[\eta_1 \eta_3] &= 2\eta_3\eta_1 + 2\eta_3\eta_2 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta_3^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta_4\eta_3 - \eta_3 \\
[\eta_1, \eta_4] &= -2\eta_4\eta_1 - 2\eta_4\eta_2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta_4\eta_3 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta_4^2 + \eta_4 \\
[\eta_2, \eta_3] &= -2\eta_3\eta_1 - 2\eta_3\eta_2 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta_3^2 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta_4\eta_3 + \eta_3 \\
[\eta_2, \eta_4] &= 2\eta_4\eta_1 + 2\eta_4\eta_2 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta_4\eta_3 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\eta_4^2 - \eta_4 \\
[\eta_3, \eta_4] &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

VI The bicovariant 4D differential calculus on $E_\kappa(2)$

It is known, [14], that there exists only one four dimensional bicovariant calculus on $E_\kappa(2)$. On the other hand, as it was shown in sec.IV and V there exist two fourdimensional calculi on $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$, so they have to correspond to the same calculus on $E_\kappa(2)$. Indeed, we have:

Theorem 5
The following equalities hold:

$$\tilde{R}_{0+} \cap E_\kappa(2) = \quad \tilde{R}_{0-} \cap E_\kappa(2) \quad = R$$

where the right ideal R defines the 4D bicovariant differential calculus described in [14].

Proof.
The proof of this theorem is straightforward.

Let us recall the basic left invariant 1–forms, introduced in Ref.[14].

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= \frac{1}{2}(A^*dA - AdA^*) = A^*dA = -AdA^* \\ w_+ &= A^*dv_+ \\ w_- &= A^*dv_- \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

$$\tilde{w}_0 = d(v_+v_- + \frac{i}{\kappa}v_+) - v_+dv_- - v_-dv_+$$

Like in undeformed case, we can express the left invariant 1–forms and the left invariant fields defined on the $E_\kappa(2)$ by the ones defined on the $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$. For D_+ we get $(dx = (\chi_0 * x)\omega_0 + (\chi_+ * x)\omega_+ + (\chi_- * x)\omega_-)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
w_0 &= \psi_1 \\
w_+ &= -i\psi_2 \\
w_- &= i\psi_3 - \frac{i}{2\kappa}\psi_1 \\
\tilde{w}_0 &= \psi_4 + \frac{1}{\kappa}\psi_2 + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\psi_1 \\
\chi_0 &= \xi_1 \\
\chi_+ &= i(\xi_2 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\xi_4) \\
\chi_- &= -i\xi_3 \\
\tilde{\chi}_0 &= \xi_4
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

while for D_- we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
w_0 &= \Phi_2 - \Phi_1 \\
w_+ &= i\Phi_3
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w_- &= -i\Phi_4 + \frac{i}{\kappa}(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) \\
\tilde{w}_0 &= \frac{3}{2\kappa^2}\Phi_2 - \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\Phi_1 \\
\chi_0 &= \frac{1}{2}(\eta_2 - \eta_1) \\
\chi_+ &= -i\eta_3 \\
\chi_- &= i\eta_4 \\
\tilde{\chi}_0 &= \kappa^2(\eta_2 + \eta_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Let us conclude with the following remark. In the classical case the differential calculus is obtained with the choice $R = (\ker \epsilon)^2$, i.e. it is determined by the ideal consisting of functions that vanish, up to second order, at the group identity. Therefore, local diffeomorphism gives unique relation between differential calculi. However, in the quantum case situation looks differently. Two different calculi on $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$ reduce to the single one on $E_\kappa(2)$. In order to get some insight let us consider Hopf subalgebra of $\tilde{E}_\kappa(2)$ generated by a_0, a_0^*. It is commutative Hopf algebra so we can speak in terms of algebra of functions on $U(1)$. In the D_+ case we obtain the standard calculus on $U(1)$. Indeed, denoting $a_0 = a^{i\Theta}$ we see that the corresponding ideal is generated by $\cos\Theta - 1 \approx \Theta^2$. On the other hand in the D_- case the ideal is generated by $\cos 2\Theta - 1$ and $\sin\Theta(\cos\Theta + 1)$. Therefore, it consists of functions vanishing not only at $\Theta = 0$ but also at $\Theta = \Pi$. However, under the mapping $a_0 \to A = a_0^2$, which is double covering, it procedures the ideal of functions vanishing at the group identity (in a special way). We see that in the quantum case, generically, the relation between calculi depends on global properties of the mapping.

VII Appendix

A) Proof of the theorem 1.
We begin from the analysis of the relations given in eq.(1) (up to terms of order $\frac{1}{R}$).

$\rho^*\rho = \rho^*\rho$ implies:

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} R^n[w+w^*, a-a^*] = 0 \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{42}$$

$\mu(\rho-\rho^*)\sigma = \sigma(\rho-\rho^*)$ implies:

$$[a_0, a_0^*] = 0 \tag{43}$$

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}(2R[a,a^*] + [a-a^*, w-w^*] + \frac{1}{\kappa}(a-a^*)(a+a^*)) = 0 \tag{44}$$

$\mu(\rho+\rho^*)\sigma = \sigma(\rho+\rho^*)$ gives:

$$[w_0+w_0^*, a_0+a_0^*] = 0 \tag{45}$$

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}(R[w+w^*, a+a^*] + \frac{1}{\kappa}[w+w^*, a] +$$

$$+\frac{1}{\kappa}(w+w^*)(a+a^*) + 2[w,w^*]) = 0 \tag{46}$$

$\sigma^* \sigma + \rho\rho^* = I$ gives:

$$a_0^* a_0 + a_0 a_0^* - 2 = 0 \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{R\to\infty}(2R(a^*a + a^*a - 2) + \frac{1}{\kappa}(a + a^*)^2 + [a + a^*, w^* - w] + \\ &+ [a - a^*, w + w^*]) = \frac{4}{\kappa}\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Finally, from the relation $\sigma\sigma^* + \mu^2 \rho^* \rho = I$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{R\to\infty}(2R(aa^* + a^*a - 2) + \frac{1}{\kappa}(a + a^*)^2 + [a + a^*, w - w^*] - \\ &- \frac{2}{\kappa}(a - a^*)^2 + [a - a^*, w + w^*]) = \frac{4}{\kappa}\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

From eqs.(43),(47),(42) and (45) one concludes:

$$a_0 a + 0^* = a_0^* a_0 = I \tag{50}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[w_0, a_0] &= -[w_0^*, a_0] \\ [w_0, a_0^*] &= [w_0^*, a_0^*]\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

If we subtract eq.(49) from eq.(48) and take into account eq.(51) we arrive at:

$$[a_0, w_0] + [a_0^*, w_0] \;=\; \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0^{@} - a_0^{*2} - a_0^2) \tag{52}$$

Subtracting from eq.(44) its conjugation and using eq.(51) we get:

$$-[a_0, w_0] + [a_0^*, w_0] \;=\; \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0^{*2} - a_0^2) \tag{53}$$

Now adding to eq.(46) its conjugation and again using eq.(51) we arrive at the relation:

$$[w_0, w_0^*] \;=\; -\frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0 + a_0^*)(w_0 + w_0^*) \tag{54}$$

Finally from eqs.(50),(52),(53) and (54) we get the commutation rules (6).

B) The proof of the theorem 2.
From the inclusions $\rho^2 \in R$, $\rho^{*2} \in R$ and $\rho\rho^* \in R$ we immediately obtain that

$$(a_0 - a_0^*)^2 \in \tilde{R}_0;\; (w_0 + w_0^*)^2 \in \tilde{R}_0 \text{ and } (a_0 - a_0^*)(w_0 + w_0^*) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{55}$$

Due to $(\sigma - I)(\rho \pm \rho^*) \in R$, $\sigma^* + \mu^2\sigma - (1 + \mu^2)I \in R$ and the relations (55) one gets:

$$a_0 + a_0^* - 2 \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{56}$$

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} R(a + a^* - 2) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{57}$$

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}(R^2(a + a^* - 2) - \frac{1}{\kappa^2}(a - I) + \frac{1}{\kappa}(w^* - 3w)) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{58}$$

$$w_0^*(w_0 + w_0^*) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{59}$$

$$w_0(w_0 + w_0^*) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{60}$$

$$(a_0 - I)w_0^* + \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0 - a_0^*) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{61}$$

$$(a_0 - I)w_0 - \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0 - a_0^*) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{62}$$

$$(a_0^* - I)w_0^* - \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0 - a_0^*) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{63}$$

$$(a_0^* - I)w_0 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}(a_0 - a_0^*) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{64}$$

From the relations $\sigma^*\sigma + \rho^*\rho = I,\ \sigma\sigma^* + \mu^2\rho^*\rho = I$ and the inclusion $\rho\rho^* \in R$ it follows that $[\sigma, \sigma^*] \in R$ and $\sigma\sigma^* - I \in R$.

The inclusion $[\sigma, \sigma^*] \in R$ gives

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}(\frac{1}{\kappa}R[a, a^*] + R[w^* - w, a + a^*] + \frac{1}{\kappa}[w - w^*, a + a^*]) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{65}$$

Taking into account eq.(57) we obtain from $\sigma\sigma^* - I \in R$ the relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{R\to\infty}(R^2(a+a^*-2)(a+a^*+2) + \frac{R}{\kappa}[a,a^*] + \\
&+R[w^*-w, a+a^*] + \frac{1}{\kappa}[a,w] + \frac{1}{\kappa}[w^*,a^*] - \\
&-\frac{2}{\kappa}aw^* - \frac{2}{\kappa}wa^* - (w^*-w)^2) \in \tilde{R}_0
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Eqs.(55),(56),(58)–(61) and (64)-(66) imply:

$$w_0^* w_0 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}(w_0 - 3w_0^*) - \frac{1}{4\kappa^2}(a_0 - a_0^*) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{67}$$

Finally, using again eqs.(59) and (60) we get

$$w_0^2 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}(w_0^* - 3w_0) + \frac{1}{4\kappa^2}(a_0 - a_0^*) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{68}$$

$$w_0^{*2} - \frac{1}{2\kappa}(w_0 - 3w_0^*) + \frac{1}{4\kappa^2}(a_0 - a_0^*) \in \tilde{R}_0 \tag{69}$$

The relations (56),(61)-(64) and (67)–(69) completely describe th ideal $\tilde{R}_0$.

C) Proof of the theorem 3.
The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of theorem 2. After long

and tedious analysis of the generators of the ideal R_+ we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0 + a_0^* - 2 \in \tilde{R}_{0+} \\ & (a_0 - I)w_0 \in \tilde{R}_{0+} \quad (a_0 - I)w_0^* \in \tilde{R}_{0+} \\ & (a_0^* - I)w_0 \in \tilde{R}_{0+} \quad (a_0^* - I)w_0^* \in \tilde{R}_{0+} \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{R\to\infty}(2R^2(aa^* + a^*a - 2) + R(\frac{1}{\kappa}(a^2 + a^{*2} + 2a^*a - 4) + \\ & +[a + a^*, w^* - w]) + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}(a^2 + a^{*2} + 4a^*a - 6) + \frac{1}{\kappa}([w, a] + \\ & +[a^*, w^*] - 2w^*a - 2a^*w) - (w^* - w)^2 + (w + w^*)^2) \in \tilde{R}_{0+} \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{R\to\infty}(-2R^2(a - a^*)^2 - 4R^2(2 - aa^* - a^*a) - \frac{4}{\kappa^2}(a - a^*)^2 + \\ & +\frac{1}{\kappa^2}(-4a^2 + 13aa^* + 13a^*a - 22) + 2(w - w^*)^2 + 4(w + w^*) + \\ & +\frac{1}{\kappa}(2\{a^*, w\} - 4\{a^*, w^*\} - 2\{a, w^*\})) \in \tilde{R}_{0+} \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

$$(w_0 + w_0^*)(w_0^* - w_0) \in \tilde{R}_{0+} \tag{73}$$

and

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} R(a - a^*)^2 \in \tilde{R}_{0+} \tag{74}$$

The relation $(\mu^2-1)(\rho+\rho^*)^2-2[\sigma,\sigma^*]\in R_+$ gives:

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} R(\frac{1}{\kappa}[a,a^*]+[a+a^*,w-w^*])+\frac{1}{\kappa}[a_0+a_0^*,w_0-w_0^*]\in \tilde{R}_{0+} \qquad (75)$$

while from eqs.(71),(72),(74) and (75) it follows that:

$$w_0^2+\frac{1}{\kappa}w_0+{w_0^*}^2-\frac{1}{\kappa}w_0\in \tilde{R}_{0+} \qquad (76)$$

while from eqs.(76) and (73) we obtain

$$w_0^2+\frac{1}{\kappa}w_0\in \tilde{R}_{0+} \qquad (77)$$

$${w_0^*}^2-\frac{1}{\kappa}w_0^*\in \tilde{R}_{0+} \qquad (78)$$

Eqs.(70),(77) and (78) completely describe the ideal $\tilde{R}_{0+}$.

References

[1] Celeghini E., Giachetti R., Sorace E., Tarlini M., J. Math. Phys **31**, 2548 (1990)

[2] Celeghini E., Giachetti R., Sorace E., Tarlini M., J. Math. Phys **32**, 1155 (1991)

[3] Celeghini E., Giachetti R., Sorace E., Tarlini M., J. Math. Phys **32**, 1159 (1991)

[4] Celeghini E., Giachetti R., Sorace E., Tarlini M., *"Contractions of quantum groups" in "Quantum groups"*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1510, 221, Springer Verlag, (1992)

[5] Lukierski J., Ruegg H., Nowicki A., Tolstoy V., Phys. Lett. **B264**, 331 (1991)

[6] Lukierski J., Nowicki A., Ruegg H., Phys. Lett. **B293**, 344 (1993)

[7] Zaugg P., J. Math. Phys. **36**, 1547 (1995)

[8] Zaugg P., J. Math. Phys. **28**, 2589 (1995)

[9] Sobczyk J., Czech. J. Phys. **46**, 265 (1996)

[10] Woronowicz S.L., RIMS **29**, 117 (1987)

[11] Maślanka P., J. Math. Phys. **35**, 1976 (1994)

[12] Ballesteros A., Celeghini E., Giachetti R., Sorace E., Tarlini M., J. Phys. **A 26**, 7495 (1993)

[13] Stachura P., Lett. Math. Phys. **25**, 175 (1992)

[14] Giller S., Gonera C., Kosiński P., Maślanka P., Acta Phys. Pol. **B28**, 1121 (1997)

[15] Woronowicz S.L., Commun. Math. Phys. **122**, 125 (1989)